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Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

This presentation contains forward-looking statements regarding Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s (Utility) anticipated investment in energy-efficiency programs and expected energy savings related to energy-efficiency programs. These statements are based on current expectations and various assumptions which management believes are reasonable. These statements and assumptions are necessarily subject to various risks and uncertainties the realization or resolution of which are outside of management's control. Actual results may differ materially. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include:

- The Utility’s ability to timely recover costs through rates;
- The outcome of regulatory proceedings, including ratemaking proceedings pending at the CPUC and the FERC;
- Changes in demand for electricity and natural gas;
- The adequacy and price of electricity and natural gas supplies, and the ability of the Utility to manage and respond to the volatility of the electricity and natural gas markets;
- The effect of weather, storms, earthquakes, fires, floods, disease, other natural disasters, explosions, accidents, mechanical breakdowns, acts of terrorism, and other events or hazards on the Utility’s facilities and operations, its customers and third parties on which the Utility relies;
- The general economic climate and population growth or decline in Northern and Central California;
- The potential impacts of climate change on the Utility’s electricity and natural gas operations;
- Unanticipated population growth or decline, general economic and financial market conditions, and changes in technology including the development of alternative energy sources, all of which may affect customer demand for natural gas or electricity;
- The performance and the occurrence of unplanned outages at the Utility’s Diablo Canyon nuclear generating facilities, or the temporary or permanent cessation of operations at Diablo Canyon;
- The ability of the Utility to recognize benefits from its initiatives to improve its business processes and customer service;
- The ability of the Utility to timely complete its planned capital investment projects;
- The impact of changes in federal or state laws, or their interpretation, on energy policy and the regulation of utilities and their holding companies;
- The impact of changing wholesale electric or gas market rules, including the California Independent System Operator’s, or CAISO’s, new rules to restructure the California wholesale electricity market;
- How the CPUC administers the conditions imposed on PG&E Corporation when it became the Utility’s holding company;
- The extent to which PG&E Corporation or the Utility incurs costs in connection with pending litigation that are not recoverable through rates, from third parties, or through insurance recoveries;
- The ability of PG&E Corporation and/or the Utility to access capital markets and other sources of credit;
- The impact of environmental laws and regulations and the costs of compliance and remediation;
- The effect of municipalization or other forms of bypass; and
- Other factors discussed in PG&E Corporation’s and Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s SEC reports.
Keys To Energy Efficiency Success in California

- Revenue/sales decoupling mechanisms paired with annual attrition rate adjustment mechanism
- Sustained, deep commitment by regulators, state lawmakers, utilities, other stakeholders to energy efficiency, conservation, renewables, demand-response
- Growing interest and commitment by public to improve environment and mitigate climate change
- General agreement utilities are a key player in delivering energy efficiency programs/savings to customers
First programs started in 1976
- Combined conservation and EE: $9.3 million* spend
- Saved 2* MW

Very successful program in the 1990's
- Average annual budget of $120 million* with upside incentives
- Saved 880 MW

Presently
- Budget- $975 million* for 2006-2008
- Cost-effective CEE is 1st in loading order in Integrated Resource Plan
- Risk-Reward Mechanism: potential for upside and downside (retroactive to January 2006)
- Energy efficiency must offset 50% of load growth
- Savings Goals: 613 MW, 3,063 GWH, 47 MM therms

* Excludes LIEE
California’s Real-World Success

Total Electricity Use, per capita, 1960 - 2001

Why is California Different?

- Very large scale, sustained energy efficiency effort
- Highly effective codes and standards programs
- Escalating IOU, state effort on solar

Chart courtesy of Art Rosenfeld, California Energy Commission
Energy Efficiency Savings Past and Future

MW Savings: Historic & Target

Actual
Forecast

PG&E’s Budget and Goals for 2006-2008:
- Overall budget: $975 million
- Customer Incentives budget: $416 million
- PG&E filed goals: 613 MW, 3,063 GWH, 47 MM therms
California Title 20 appliance standards
California Title 24 building energy standards
Combined savings for all other states that have passed appliance standards
Population forecasted to rise from 37.1 million to 42 million (13%) from 2006 to 2016

Electric usage forecast to rise from 279,886 GHW to 313,397 GWH (12%) over same period
- Larger homes in hot valley areas
- More, higher-use electric devices/household

State’s peak demand rose to 50,270 MW in 2006 heat spell – 10.7% increase over 2005 peak

Efficiency remains lowest cost resource
Energy & Infrastructure Demand & Supply Analysis

PG&E Case Studies

Nominal Community

Demand Reduction Strategies

Supply Strategies

- Heating/Cooling/Lighting: 5-25% Reduction
- Plug Loads: 40-50% Reduction
- Transportation: 30-50% Reduction
- Energy Efficiency Life Style: 30-50% Reduction